## The Alabama Jury Verdict Reporter

The Most Current and Complete Summary of Alabama Jury Verdicts

May, 2009

Statewide Jury Verdict Coverage - Published Monthly

9 A.J.V.R. 5

#### Unbiased and Independently Researched Jury Verdict Results

### In This Issue

Jenerson County	
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 2
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 3
Auto Negligence - \$3,174	p. 4
Premises Liability - Defense verdict	p. 5
Auto Negligence - \$500	p. 7
Breach of Contract - \$31,770	p. 8
Montgomery County	
Fraud - \$114,247,233	p. 1
Tuscaloosa County	
Nurse Negligence - \$100,000	p. 2
Federal Court - Birmingham	
Excessive Force - Defense verdict	p. 3
Mobile County	
Dogbite - \$40,000	p. 3
Auto Negligence - \$32,500	p. 5
Auto Negligence - \$17,000	p. 6
Premises Liability - Defense verdict	p. 7
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 9
Etowah County	
Medical Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 4
Madison County	
Nursing Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 6
Morgan County	
Auto Negligence - \$2,000	p. 8
Baldwin County	
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict	p. 8
Walker County	
Underinsured Motorist - \$52,000	p. 9
Blount County	_
Uninsured Motorist - Defense verdict	p. 9

#### Civil Jury Verdicts

Complete and timely coverage of civil jury verdicts in Alabama including circuit, presiding judge, parties, case number, attorneys and results.

# \* \* \*The Book is Back with its Seventh Edition \* \* \* The AJVR 2008 Year in Review

This important volume, at three-hundred pages plus, has just been published and provides the Alabama litigator a comprehensive study of jury trials in 2008. It includes detailed analysis of every kind of case, easily sorted and indexed for quick reference. The fifth edition in the series, it provides the reader a complete five-year look at Alabama litigation.

Your opponents read it. Insurers read it. Can you afford to try or settle cases without it?

Order the 2008 AJVR Year in Review Just \$160.00, shipping included

#### Fraud - Drug companies that failed to pass on the true cost of drugs to Alabama's Medicaid system were liable for Medicaid's excess reimbursements

State of Alabama v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., 05-219.52

Plaintiff: Jere L. Beasley, Clinton C. Carter, W. Daniel Miles, III, Deputy Attorneys General, Beasley Allen Crow Methvin Portis & Miles, P.C., Montgomery, and Caine O'Rear, III, Deputy Attorney General, Hand Arendall, LLC., Mobile Defense: James Northcutt Walter, Jr., Capell & Howard, P.C., Montgomery, Harlan I. Prater, IV, Lightfoot Franklin & White, LLC., Birmingham, for Novartis; Donald R. Jones, Jr., Donald R. Jones. Jr. - Attorney at Law, P.C., Montgomery, Chilton Varner, King & Spalding, Atlanta, GA, and Beth J. Hallyburton, corporate counsel, for GlaxoSmithKline

Verdict: \$114,247,233 for plaintiff (allocated \$33,257,694 against Novartis; \$80,989,539 against GlaxoSmithKline)

Circuit: **Montgomery**, 7-1-08
Judge: Charles Price

Alabama Medicaid was a long-standing program administered by the State of Alabama to provide medical care and prescription drug benefits for Alabama's low-income and disabled citizens. It received federal matching funds. By 2005, Alabama Medicaid covered about 900,000 individuals and used about 15% of its budget for prescription drug costs. In 2004, this amounted to approximately \$600,000,000.

After a number of other states and the federal government brought civil and criminal actions against a variety of prescription drug manufacturers for Medicaid drug pricing fraud schemes, the State of Alabama sued approximately 70 drug manufacturers